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**10 TALKING POINTS FOR PLUG-IN HYBRIDS**

1. **How to electrify hybrids.** Today's hybrids are 100% gasoline-fueled. They're more efficient than non-hybrids because they don't idle, they use smaller engines, and they recapture braking energy into a battery for later use. It's a great improvement. Tapping their full potential can save much more gasoline and bring many other benefits.

2. **Spend less to fill up your spare tank.** A plug-in hybrid (PHEV) adds a cleaner, cheaper, domestic energy source for your car: electricity. It's like having a second small fuel tank you always use first. You fill it at home with electricity from an ordinary 120-volt socket, at a cost equivalent to less than $1/gallon. (Assumptions below.)

3. **Use no gas for short trips, still get unlimited range.** If your batteries have a longer range than your average daily commute, you'll rarely need gas. But if you forget to plug in, or take a longer trip, you still have the same extended range you've always had from a gasoline engine -- all in a relatively clean, efficient hybrid.

4. **Neo-cons and greens agree.** Using electricity for your daily local travel improves "energy security." PHEVs have been endorsed by an alliance of environmentalists and national security conservatives who see it as the best way to rapidly cut our need for imported oil. They want car makers to add the "flex-fuel" feature (cost: $150) so PHEVs can run on biodiesel or cellulosic ethanol. That way PHEVs could get well over 100 MPG of gasoline (+ electricity + biofuels).

5. **Your car offers emergency home backup power.** Hybrids and PHEVs can be used as mobile electricity generators after disasters and outages, providing low-emission 120-volt power for days to emergency centers and individual homes.

6. **Electricity: key helper on global warming.** Even though over half of the nation's electricity is produced from coal, when you count all the emissions from the oil well or coal mine to the car's wheels, an electric vehicle produces about half the greenhouse gases of an all-gasoline car. This will get better as utilities are use cleaner and more renewable energy.

7. **Lead the auto industry out of the wilderness.** American car makers missed the boat on hybrid technology. Now they're playing catch-up. PHEVs offer the chance to leapfrog their competitors. Getting car buyers excited about clean, advanced technology cars could save one or more beleaguered car companies. As for automakers' concerns about whether batteries are ready, the Electric Power Research Institute sees no technical impediments, and says cost issues will be resolved as production volumes increase. Component suppliers see the opportunity and have formed a Plug-In Hybrid Development Consortium to demonstrate performance and to speed automakers' path to commercialization of PHEVs.

8. **Save money in the long run.** Mass-produced PHEVs can pay for themselves in higher fuel savings and reduced maintenance costs. In high volumes, car makers could eventually sell PHEVs for under $2,000 more than current hybrids, or under $5,000 more for hybrid SUVs. Just as current car buyers pay for larger engines or leather seats without expecting a return, early adopter buyers will pay extra for this "green feature." The bonus? Projections based on real-world experience from electric car fleets show PHEVs have a lower lifetime cost of ownership than any other vehicle type.

9. **PHEVs already exist.** Dr. Andy Frank converted Ford and GM cars and SUVs at UC Davis. The Electric Power Research Institute worked with DaimlerChrysler to design PHEV versions of the Mercedes Sprinter 15-passenger commercial van, using lithium-ion and nickel-metal hydride batteries. They'll soon be tested by Federal Express, The New York Times, Southern California Edison, South Coast Air Quality Management District and Kansas City. Last year, non-profit CalCars built the first PRIUS+ conversion. Then for-profit EnergyCS built a more advanced version, and launched EDrive Systems to sell installed conversions to Prius owners in 2006. CalCars hopes to work with a car company on conversions of an existing hybrid to meet a fleet market demand we estimate at 10,000-100,000 vehicles.

10. **Deploy the fleet.** Fleet buyers can lead the way. The Plug-In Austin Campaign has launched. An expanded Plug-In Partners national campaign for a large fleet buy is in the works. Some firms are subsidizing up to $5,000 of their employees' costs to buy hybrids. To slash battlefield fuel costs and get the no-net "footprint" of electric vehicles, the military may be a big buyer. Senators from both parties (from Orrin Hatch to Joe Lieberman and Barack Obama) and former cabinet members (from George Shultz to James Woolsey) endorse PHEVs as the fastest way to significantly cut oil use. New hybrid tax credits (not deductions) help buy down extra costs. Other initiatives, including incentives to car makers and buyers, will come from all levels of government.

**Assumptions for Point #2:**

**Toyota Prius:** 260 Watt-hours/ electric mile at “off-peak” (overnight) electricity rate (8.8 cents/kilowatt hour) equals a cost of 2.3 cents/mile. Multiply this by the 45 miles per gallon of a typical Prius to get the equivalent of $1.03/gallon.

**Typical Non-Hybrid SUV:** 400 Watt-hours/electric-mile at the off-peak rate of 8.8 cents/kilowatt hour equals a cost of 3.5 cents/mile. Multiply this by this less efficient vehicle's average of 18 miles/gallon to get an even better $0.63/gallon. (SUVs get lower mileage, so they can improve even more!).

---

The California Cars Initiative is a non-profit startup of entrepreneurs, engineers, environmentalists and consumers that combines technology development and advocacy.  

**Our goal? To get car companies to build PHEVs.** [More at www.calcars.org](http://www.calcars.org)  

Read the Frequently Asked Questions. See the News Archive. Write to info@calcars.org
This year, batteries and electric motors are back in the news, spurred by the popularity of gas-electric hybrids and the recognition that fuel cell cars are electric vehicles. The plug-in hybrid (PHEV), long consigned to a footnote as an interesting but unrealistic idea, may soon enter the mainstream as an automotive option.

Our organization, CalCars, took years to come up with a metaphor that drove home the PHEV concept to drivers: “It’s like having a second small fuel tank that you always use first. You fill it at home with electricity, at an equivalent cost of under $1 per gallon. Your energy is cleaner, cheaper, and not imported.”

Now, support for PHEVs is coming from unexpected places: Neo-conservatives seeking rapid reductions in oil dependency. Engineers immersed in online communities. Futurists concerned about a vulnerable, centralized power grid. Ethanol advocates discovering feedstock alternatives to corn. They’ve joined forces with long-time supporters like renewable energy advocates, utilities with cheap off-peak power, fleet owners eager for green cars, and component suppliers seeking new markets.

One by one, objections have fallen away. One points to the complexity of using two systems, but today’s hybrids use advanced technology to remove components and engineer some of our highest quality and customer-value cars. Another is that the national power grid is too dirty. But Argonne National Laboratory studies show that electric vehicles beat out gasoline vehicles on well-to-wheel greenhouse gases. It’s argued that nobody is interested. Yet, journalists have jumped on CalCars’ and EDrive’s high-mpg conversion stories. They understand how flexible-fuel PHEVs would use almost no gasoline, although admittedly, some reporters have not factored in electricity and biofuel costs. But when the bipartisan National Commission on Energy Policy dug into the emissions numbers and looked for achievable strategies, they gave PHEVs the highest grades. Then Corna Hatch, Barack Obama, and other Senators, along with George Schultz, James Woolsey, and other former Cabinet members, hailed the 2 to 4 cents-per-mile cost for local travel as a breakthrough this country needs.

It’s been said that car companies won’t build plug-in hybrids. However, DaimlerChrysler is now completing the first original equipment manufacturer PHEVs. Recent statements from Toyota and Ford indicate they are weighing the concept as well. Battery costs are claimed to be too high with their useful life too short. This remains a subject of debate. Even discounting promising materials science advances, batteries are competitive through incremental but substantial technology, production, and cost improvements, and rising gasoline prices. Plus, a new Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) study finds no technology impediments and sees affordable batteries when produced in volume.

An overly long payoff has been claimed, but this topic is fading as many auto buyers demonstrate their willingness to pay more up front for green cars. They recognize that energy security and global warming are not simply issues of “dollars and cents at the pump.” Meanwhile, EPRI studies project lower lifetime costs for PHEVs than for any other type of car. PHEVs are an extendable platform that welcomes other solutions like engine efficiencies. They can be designed for any fuel type, starting with gasoline and evolving to biodiesel, cellulosic ethanol, and even hydrogen. This way, PHEVs solve both the “chicken and the egg” infrastructure dilemma and the uncertainty of predicting future technologies.

CalCars.org and our allies plan to partner with OEMs on demonstration programs. We know the auto industry can deliver. After Pearl Harbor, Detroit switched from cars and trucks to planes and tanks in a year. With PHEVs, we have the opportunity to find out how clean and efficient cars can be right now.

― Felix Kramer is founder of the California Cars Initiative (calcars.org), a non-profit group of engineers, environmentalists, and entrepreneurs that combines technology development and advocacy for plug-in hybrid vehicles.
We Can Tackle National Security, Jobs, and Global Warming — All at the Same Time.

Help cut U.S. oil imports by millions of barrels per day.

Get “plugged in” to the new solution that national security experts, environmentalists, unions, the press, entrepreneurs and Congress are buzzing about:

Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEVs)

Plug-in hybrid vehicles (PHEVs) are the cars of the future — today

- PHEVs use the same technology as the Toyota Prius and other popular hybrids but they have larger batteries. Drivers get the option of plugging in to regular electrical outlets for fuel at the equivalent of under $1/gallon.

- Compared to current hybrids, PHEVs can use 40-80% less gas. They produce less greenhouse gases even on the national power grid. PHEVs that substitute cleaner, cheaper electricity from domestic sources for imported oil can help tackle two great challenges: global warming and energy security.

- PHEV sedans and SUV prototypes exist today. DaimlerChrysler has built a handful of commercial PHEV vans. EDrive Systems will soon be selling installed conversions for Prius. Others are at UC Davis, and CalCars built PRIUS+.

- PHEVs can help revive car companies and save jobs. Fleet buyers and early adopters are organizing to demonstrate demand to automakers.

Californians have the opportunity to lead the way

- In a few years, PHEVs could achieve twice the ambitious greenhouse gas benefits of California’s pioneering law (now being adopted by 10 other states and Canada) that requires 30% greenhouse gas reductions for new cars and light trucks by 2016.

- Flex-fuel PHEVs running E85 (85% ethanol) are part of an oil independence strategy to stop supporting undemocratic societies and putting our troops in harm’s way over oil fields — while helping avoid skyrocketing oil prices, interest rate hikes and trade deficits.

- PHEVs can be part of a solar/bio-fuel/advanced auto technology plan to create jobs. The Apollo Alliance estimates clean energy can bring California 438,000 new jobs and $28 billion of economic activity over just 10 years.
Environment-alists, unions, business leaders, military experts, evangelicals and neoconservatives are on the same side.

If by 2025, all cars on the road are hybrids and half are plug-in hybrids, US oil imports would drop by 8 million barrels per day (mbd). Today, the US imports 10 mbd.

— Set America Free Initiative including the Institute for the Analysis of Global Security, Natural Resources Defense Council and Apollo Alliance

All of the relevant technology is at hand,” said Frank Gaffney, founder of the Center for Security Policy and an assistant defense secretary in the Reagan administration. "If you’re thinking about this as an environmental issue first and foremost, you’re missing the point.

— The New York Times, 4/2/05, “Hybrid-Car Tinkerers Scoff at No-Plug-In Rule”

These vehicles are quickly becoming the darlings of strange bedfellows: both conservative hawks and environmentalists, who see such fuel efficiency as key to ensuring national security and fighting climate change.

— Business Week, April 11, 2005, “Giving Hybrids a Real Jolt”

When you consider that almost 78 percent of Americans live within 20 miles of their jobs, and that most car trips — commuting, shopping and dropping the kids off at soccer games — are less than 20 miles, plug-in hybrids could run solely on electricity for these types of short trips and commutes.

— Consumer Reports, May 2005, “Plug-In Hybrids”

And some seemingly distant options are right under our noses; consider the plug-in version of the hybrid car.


We should have a national program to promote plug-in hybrid cars running on electricity and biofuels. Since we’re not getting leadership on this from the White House or the Energy Department, I’m happy that initiatives are coming from entrepreneurial groups like CalCars.org and from state and local campaigns.


The attractiveness to the consumer of being able to use electricity from overnight charging for a substantial share of the day’s driving is stunning.

— former Sec. of State George P. Shultz and former CIA Director James R. Woolsey

Help promote plug-in hybrids

Contact the California Cars Initiative (www.CalCars.org), a non-profit advocacy and technology development startup formed by entrepreneurs, engineers, environmentalists and consumers to get car companies to build flex-fuel PHEVs.

☐ At www.calcars.org, see PHEVs’ benefits, read news coverage and get our email newsletter with breaking developments.

☐ Help jumpstart the PHEV market transition with a tax-deductible contribution to CalCars — we can accomplish far more if we have the resources.

☐ Bring your contacts and ideas to a PHEV Plan to leverage public and corporate fleets, citizen and legislative action, to gain a partnership with a major automaker.

☐ Learn how someday you’ll be able to “green-tune” your hybrid to become a PHEV (like our PRIUS+ conversions).

☐ Spread the word: using website resources, write letters to editors, call talk shows, post to blogs, buttonhole reporters, meet with community leaders, lobby legislators — and contact us.

Download and distribute this and other flyers!

www.calcars.org | info@calcars.org
PO Box 61045
Palo Alto, CA 94306
650.520.5555
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The California Cars Initiative

CalCars
As Toyota Goes...

So I have a question: If I am rooting for General Motors to go bankrupt and be bought out by Toyota, does that make me a bad person?

It is not that I want any autoworker to lose his or her job, but I certainly would not put on a black tie if the entire management team at G.M. got sacked and was replaced by executives from Toyota. Indeed, I think the only hope for G.M.'s autoworkers, and maybe even our country, is with Toyota. Because let's face it, as Toyota goes, so goes America.

Having Toyota take over General Motors — which based its business strategy on building gas-guzzling cars, including the idiot Hummer, scoffing at hybrid technology and fighting Congressional efforts to impose higher mileage standards on U.S. automakers — would not only be in America's economic interest, it would also be in America's geopolitical interest.

Because Toyota has pioneered the very hybrid engine technology that can help rescue not only our economy from its oil addiction (how about 500 miles per gallon of gasoline?), but also our foreign policy from dependence on Middle Eastern oil autocrats.

Diffusing Toyota's hybrid technology is one of the keys to what I call "geo-green." Geo-greens seek to combine into a single political movement environmentalists who want to reduce fossil fuels that cause climate change, evangelicals who want to protect God's green earth and all his creations, and geo-strategists who want to reduce our dependence on crude oil because it fuels some of the worst regimes in the world.

The Bush team has been M.I.A. on energy since 9/11. Indeed, the utter indifference of the Bush team to developing a geo-green strategy — which would also strengthen the dollar, reduce our trade deficit, make America the world leader in combating climate change and stimulate U.S. companies to take the lead in producing the green technologies that the world will desperately need as China and India industrialize — is so irresponsible that it takes your breath away. This is especially true when you realize that the solutions to our problems are already here.

As Gal Luft, co-chairman of the SET America Free coalition, a bipartisan alliance of national security, labor, environmental and religious groups that believe reducing oil consumption is a national priority, points out: the majority of U.S. oil imports go to fueling the transport sector — primarily cars and trucks. Therefore, the key to reducing our dependence on foreign oil is powering our cars and trucks with less petroleum.

There are two ways we can do that. One is electricity. We don't import electricity. We generate all of our needs with coal, hydropower, nuclear power and natural gas. Toyota's hybrid cars, like the Prius, run on both gasoline and electricity that is generated by braking and then stored in a small battery. But, says Luft, if you had a hybrid that you could plug in at night, the battery could store up 20 miles of driving per day. So your first 20 miles would be covered by the battery. The gasoline would only kick in after that. Since 50 percent of Americans do not drive more than 20 miles a day, the battery power would cover all their driving. Even if they drove more than that, combining the battery power and the gasoline could give them 100 miles per gallon of gasoline used, Luft notes.

Right now Toyota does not sell plug-in hybrids. Some enthusiasts, though, are using kits to convert their hybrids to plug-ins, but that adds several thousand dollars — and you lose your Toyota warranty. Imagine, though, if the government encouraged, through tax policy and other incentives, every automaker to offer plug-in hybrids? We would quickly move down the innovation curve and end up with better and cheaper plug-ins for all.

Then add to that flexible-fuel cars, which have a special chip and fuel line that enable them to burn alcohol (ethanol or methanol), gasoline or any mixture of the two. Some four million U.S. cars already come equipped this way, including from G.M. It costs only about $100 a car to make it flex-fuel ready. Brazil hopes to have all its new cars flex-fuel ready by 2008. As Luft notes, if you combined a plug-in hybrid system with a flex-fuel system that burns 80 percent alcohol and 20 percent gasoline, you could end up stretching each gallon of gasoline up to 500 miles.

In short, we don't need to reinvent the wheel or wait for sci-fi hydrogen fuel cells. The technologies we need for a stronger, more energy independent America are already here. The only thing we have is a shortage of now are leaders with the imagination and will to move the country onto a geo-green path.

From hybrids to flex-fuels to geo-greens.
Giving Hybrids A Real Jolt
A plug-in gas-electric vehicle may be key in saving fuel and cutting pollution

BusinessWeek April 11, 2005
see www.calcars.org
for links to article and graphics

There is a car that can cut America's oil imports to a trickle, dramatically reduce pollution, and do it with currently available technology? Greg Hanssen thinks so. His company has already built one such car—a converted Toyota Prius that gets 100 to 180 mpg in a typical commute. Andrew A. Frank thinks so, too. The University of California at Davis professor has constructed a handful of such vehicles. His latest: a converted 325-horsepower Ford Explorer that goes 50 miles using no gas at all, then gets 30 mpg. “It goes like a rocket,” he says.

Sponsored by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), several utilities, government agencies, and DaimlerChrysler, the carmaker is building a fleet of up to 40 PHEV delivery vans.

Four will be coming to U.S. cities for tests starting in June. Research at EPRI predicts that the plug-in vehicles, based on DaimlerChrysler's popular Sprinter van, will get a gas mileage boost of at least 50% over conventional vans.

EPRI Program Manager Robert Graham is convinced that Toyota already has prototype plug-ins running. Toyota says no. “We keep looking at the concept, and at some point it might be feasible, but it isn't there yet,” says David Hermance, Toyota's executive engineer for environmental engineering. For its part, DaimlerChrysler sees its van project “as a great opportunity to develop the vehicles we foresee in the future,” says technology spokesman Nick Cappa. The company's first hybrid offerings will be conventional, but plug-ins might eventually be an option, he says.

Auto makers’ reluctance to plunge in quickly frustrates evangelists like Professor Frank. “If it is such a damn good idea, why are the car companies not adopting plug-ins?” he asks. “The simple answer is that they don’t want to change what they are making.” But it's also not clear how much more people will pay for the cars. Hybrids are estimated to cost $2,000 to $5,000 more than conventional cars to make, and the larger batteries for plug-ins would add several thousands dollars more.

“UNCERTAINTY”

PROONENTS PREDICT costs will drop with high-volume production. But making the investment to build hundreds of thousands of PHEVs is a giant risk, especially since there are competing approaches to higher fuel efficiency, such as advanced diesels or upgraded gasoline or hydrogen engines. Plus, no one knows if gas prices will rise enough to spur demand for high mileage cars. “All these technologies are great. But there is a tremendous amount of uncertainty,” says David E. Cole, chairman of the Center for Automotive Research.

That’s why some plug-in advocates are striving to create a market for automakers. On Mar. 3, the city of Austin, Tex., passed a resolution calling for rebates for plug-in purchases and asking local businesses and governments to buy the vehicles. “We can reduce costs [of driving] to consumers, improve the air quality, and increase revenues to the city,” says Roger Duncan, deputy general manager of city-owned Austin Energy.

Ordinary hybrids such as the Prius are already popular. Moving to plug-ins is the next logical step—and the idea is getting high-level endorsements. Last December, the bipartisan National Commission on Energy Policy tapped plug-ins as a key part of its energy strategy. The Set America Free coalition, a group of conservatives and enviros, is pushing for $2 billion in incentives, pointing out that “if all cars on the road are hybrids and half are plug-in hybrid vehicles, U.S. oil imports would drop by 8 million barrels per day.” Americans will be “gassing up” their cars with electrons, predicts Romm: “I would bet the mortgage on it.” But not quite the whole house.

By John Carey in Washington
Running on Empty

A hot-rodded Prius hybrid can get extraordinary mileage from a gallon of gas—even in stop-and-go L.A. Is triple-digit mileage just around the bend?

BY DAN NEIL
OW FAR CAN WE STRETCH A GALLON OF GASOLINE? OK, MAYBE it isn’t a question for the ages. But with oil setting new records at more than $60 per barrel, it seems like a good time to ask. And considering that the U.S. economy is hooked on oil imported from political nightmares such as Nigeria and Saudi Arabia, and that our petrodollars support regimes that indulge Islamic radicalism, and that global warming threatens to turn Orlando into beachfront property... well, maybe it is a question for the ages.

The answer: It depends. Last month at the Society of Automotive Engineers’ Supermileage competition in Marshall, Mich., a team from Mater Dei High School in Evansville, Ind., got 1,856 miles per gallon. However, the winning vehicle carried only one passenger—a skinny kid—at just over 15 mph, and it looked like a body bag on wheels.

Slightly more practical, DaimlerChrysler last month unveiled a concept vehicle called the Mercedes-Benz Bionic Car, a lightweight, streamlined four-seater whose biomorphic design is based on the tropical boxfish. Powered by a small diesel engine, the bait-shaped runabout gets 70 mpg (diesel fuel, it should be noted, has more energy content than gasoline and some emissions issues that gasoline doesn’t have).

Among street-legal cars, the Honda Insight—another aerodynamic guppy and the first (1999) hybrid gas-electric vehicle sold in the United States—is the gas mileage champion, getting 60 mpg in the city and 66 mpg on the highway. And then there’s the car I’m driving: a Toyota Prius jury-rigged by a couple of wildcatting engineers in Monrovia. Equipped with an oversized battery, a home-built battery controller (and lots of home-built computer code) and a battery charger, it’s a plug-in hybrid electric vehicle, or PHEV, a technology that might just represent one of the most dramatic advances in fuel stretching since the Pennsylvania oil fields. And not a minute too soon.

The idea is that owners charge up the car overnight, plugging into their garage outlet for cheap, off-peak electricity, and the stored energy covers their short-range daily driving—on average, less than 30 miles. Except that, unlike electric-only vehicles, which can range only as far as a charge allows, PHEVs can fall back
on a gas engine. Within its electrically boosted range, this car can get 100 mpg.

Or more. A lot more, if you believe a growing chorus of PHEV partisans, some of whom are famously hard-nosed conservatives born again as energy evangelists. PHEV technology has earned a rousing endorsement from the bipartisan Commission on National Energy Policy. Former Secretary of State George Shultz and former CIA Director R. James Woolsey, co-chairs of a diverse-sounding organization called The Committee on the Present Danger, wrote in a policy paper last year: “A plug-in hybrid averaging 125 mpg, if its fuel tank contains 85 percent cellulosic ethanol, would be obtaining about 500 mpg [of gasoline]. If it were constructed from carbon composites the mileage could double. . . . What are we waiting for?”

Setting aside the mysteries of cellulosic ethanol and carbon composites for the moment, the idea that PHEVs can be built from off-the-shelf parts has become something of an orthodoxy. “The solution is already with us,” wrote Newsweek International columnist Fareed Zakaria in March. “We don’t need to reinvent the wheel or wait for sci-fi hydrogen fuel cells.” New York Times columnist Thomas Friedman wrote in late June. “The technologies we need for a stronger, more energy independent America are already here.”

Not so fast, says Dave Hermance, an executive engineer at Toyota and the company’s guru of all things Prius. Somewhat ruefully—he isn’t very happy about people hacking his beloved and delicately engineered Prius—Hermance says that while the PHEV concept has merit, it won’t work with the current generation of lithium-ion batteries, which, while powerful, are both too expensive and temperature-susceptible for use in mass-production cars. Depending on their chemistry, lithium-ion batteries tend to get really hot—thermal runaway, it’s called—and, as the military well knows, to ignite. “The design line of developers is that a lithium-ion battery of sufficient cost, durability and safety is three to five years away.”

Hermance isn’t alone. Dr. Dan Doughty, an expert in battery technology at the Department of Energy’s Sandia National Laboratory in Albuquerque, N.M., thinks high-performance lithium-ion batteries are still too buggy to warrant exuberance. Doughty also notes that electric vehicle backers’ claims of super-high mileage often do not include the cost of electricity as well as gasoline. “What chaps my hide is when people know better and hold back some of that information,” he says.

And so I’ve come to a battery-strewn laboratory and workshop in Monrovia. Greg Hanssen and Pete Nortman of the engineering firm EnergyCS are loaning me their electrically rodded Prius, the kind of vehicle upon which so many angels have alighted. On the dash of their plug-in car is an LCD gauge that measures gas use to the thousandth of a gallon—milli-gals—and as they pass me the key I’m aware that the car embodies a lot of painstaking engineering and handiwork, hopes and dreams. I’ll be careful. How far, actually, can I go on a single gallon of gas? How far can we all go?

GREG HANSEN HAS NOTHING BUT RESPECT FOR THE TOYOTA PRIUS. “NOT A day goes by that I don’t think what an amazing machine this is,” he says from the right seat during our orientation drive (and, yes, the meter is running).

From an engineering perspective, the Prius’ neatest trick is its computer-orchestrated integration, the fluid interplay of the electric motor and gas engine. The Prius is a “strong” parallel hybrid, which means that both electric motor and gas engine act on the driveshaft through a power-splitting gearset. At low speed, the electric motor drives the car. When higher speeds or heavy acceleration are required, the gas engine and electric motor work together. Some of the engine’s power is diverted to a generator that charges the battery. Also, like other hybrids, the Prius captures kinetic energy otherwise lost during coasting and braking and converts it into electricity, a technology called regenerative braking.

The result of all these dancing electrons is that the Prius extracts about twice the energy from a gallon of gasoline as a conventional car—a measure called tank-to-wheel efficiency. A lot of Prius owners have been disappointed that they couldn’t get the mileage claimed by the Environmental Protection Agency—60 mpg city and 51 mpg highway—but that has more to do with the testing cycle of the EPA than with the Prius, which reliably returns 45 mpg in mixed city-highway driving.

Hanssen and Nortman want more. Given its lightweight, aerodynamic shape and state-of-the-art engineering, “the Prius is an ideal platform for a plug-in,” says Hanssen.

But it’s not simply a matter of adding an extension cord. The Prius’ stock nickel metal-hydride battery is relatively small—holding about 1.3 kilowatt hours of energy, enough to run a powerful hair dryer for an hour. It is also lightly taxed. The car’s computers limit the battery workload in order to reduce wear and tear. To meet the California Air Resources Board requirements for advanced technology partial zero-emission vehicles—AT-PZEV, a green bar badge of honor—an advanced powertrain vehicle has to be virtually maintenance-free for 150,000 miles.

Hanssen and Nortman removed the stock battery (as well as the spare tire) from below the cargo floor and installed a 9 kWh lithium-ion battery, a King Kong version of the battery running your laptop. It’s about twice as energy dense (energy-to-weight ratio) as the stock battery, but on the downside adds about 180 pounds.

Also, they hacked the car’s software to let the system tap the battery (up to 21kW of instantaneous energy) to within about 20% of its capacity.

The other significant bit of hacking involved rewriting the software to allow full-electric operation at speeds up to 34 mph. In other words, at traffic jam speeds, the plug-in Prius operates as an electric vehicle.

Does it work? In the time it takes for Hanssen to explain the car’s operation, we have traveled 9.09 miles around Monrovia on highway and surface streets and have used only .067 of a gallon of gas. According to the computer, that’s 134.8 mpg with the same humming effectiveness as the stock Prius. So far, so amazing.

NOW CAN WE OPEN THE CHAMPAGNE AND CELEBRATE THE END OF FOREIGN OIL? Not quite yet. The biggest problem, Hanssen acknowledges, is cost. The battery pack, made by Valence Technology in Austin, Texas, costs about $1,000 per kWh. EnergyCS wants to market a Prius retrofit kit next year through its partners, EDrive Systems and Cleantech of Los Angeles, which Hanssen anticipates will sell for about $12,000, maybe less. On top of the $25,000 or so for a Prius, that’s a lot of money for all but the cliquists of tree huggers, and the work voids the Toyota warranty.

“At the moment this will appeal only to very early adopters,” Hanssen says. “Not thousands, but hundreds, but they are going to be the most important because they will be the most passionate.” Ed Begley Jr.’s name comes up.

Municipal fleet customers also may want the kit. EnergyCS recently got $130,000 from the South Coast Air Quality Management District to build four more plug-in Priuses for testing.

Another issue: Deeply exercising the battery from a maximum to minimum state of charge hastens its decline. The death spiral could begin in less than six years of real-world ownership, which means the plug-in Priuses would fail the state’s AT-PZEV regulation.

“If you don’t have battery life, the sales price doesn’t matter much,” says Doughty of Sandia National Laboratory.

ROAD TEST UPDATE: I’M DRIVING WEST ON THE 210 AT 70 MPH. ON MY WAY back to my home just north of Los Angeles. The instantaneous mileage read-out in the plug-in Prius tells me I’m flirting with 100 mpg. There’s a little blue light on the dashboard controller box that indicates when the gas engine kicks in. Every time the light comes on it feels like a moral failing.

BATTERIES WERE ALMOST THE DEATH OF PURE ELECTRIC VEHICLES (EVs), and batteries may yet be their salvation. In the 1990s, automakers, trying to meet the California requirement for zero-emission vehicles, delivered thousands of EVs in the state, cars such as General Motors’ EV1 and Toyota’s RAV4-EV. But automakers complained that there was little demand for the vehicles, which were range limited (usually 100 miles or so) and expensive. A replace-

Dan Neil is The Times’ auto critic and writer of 800 Words, a weekly column for the magazine.
ment battery pack for the RAV4-EV, for example, cost $30,000.

Also, critics contended that the zero-emissions standard was erroneous, because while electric cars themselves don't pollute, the power plants that make electricity do—a fair point. Outside the green-car circle, the zero-emissions saga was often caricatured as top-down, bureaucratic fiddling with market forces.

In 2003, the state relaxed its zero-emissions requirements, and automakers haggled out of the all-electric business. GM, Ford and Toyota gathered as many of the mostly leased EVs as they could and scrapped them, which outraged EV zealots begging to buy the cars. In April, EVers held vigils outside GM's offices in Burbank to protest the company's crushing of EVs. A similar protest broke out on Toyota's lawn in Torrance. There is bad blood on all sides.

Plug-in hybrids give the EV movement a second life. "My goal is the vindication of the EV," says Hanssen. "A lot of people were left out in the cold with EVs. They are eager to jump on this technology."

The reason: PHEVs capitalize on the same logic as EVs. Most people on most days don't need a 300-mile range.

"Since most vehicle use is for relatively short trips," wrote Joseph J. Romm in a report for the Federation of American Scientists, "even a relatively modest all-electric range of 20 or 30 miles could allow these vehicles to replace a substantial portion of gasoline consumption and tailpipe emissions."

The second-generation Prius went on sale in 2003. Through online news groups, Prius owners soon heard that cars sold in Japan and Europe had an electric-only "stealth" mode activated by a button that was omitted in U.S.-market cars. Within weeks, technically savvy Prius owners were installing their own EV buttons to access the stealth mode.

The Prius hackies drew on the experience of Andy Frank, a professor of engineering at UC Davis, who has been working on PHEVs since about 1990.

PHEV, is a technology that...

As the battery-related problems of pure EVs became manifest, the plug-in solution got a lot more interesting, especially to the electric utilities. Backed by the Electric Power Research Institute, private interests and government air-quality agencies, including the California Air Resources Board, Frank built a small fleet of research PHEVs using conventional vehicles such as the Ford Taurus and Explorer. He made presentations to Toyota, Renault and Detroit automakers, but so far, none have committed to building a PHEV.

Quietly, though, and in increasing numbers, automakers are experimenting. DaimlerChrysler will test a small batch of PHEVs based on the company's Sprinter van this summer. And insiders suspect that other car makers, including Toyota, secretly operate a PHEV skunkworks. "There's no question in my mind that Toyota has plans for a plug-in hybrid right now," Frank recently told the Christian Science Monitor, "but they aren't talking about it."

The guerrilla interest in PHEVs puts Toyota in an unfamiliar posture: on the defensive. "We're getting a lot of pressure from the public," says Cindy Knight, a company spokesperson. "We've shown that we have the energy chops to do it, so people say, 'Why don't we do it?'"

MILEAGE UPDATE: I'VE PULLED INTO MY DRIVEWAY AFTER A 25-MINUTE DRIVE at speeds up to 75 mph. I've traversed 18.7 highway miles (total 27.79 miles) and used .239 of a gallon of gas. According to the computer, that drops my 1-gallon average to 116.1 mpg. I feel like such a gas hog.

FELIX KRAMER IS A WASTE-NOT KIND OF GUY. "AS A KID I HATED IDLING CARS," says the founder of CalCars.org. "It seemed so inefficient." Based in Palo Alto, CalCars is non-profit PHEV advocacy group that acts as a networking hub for engineers, investors and entrepreneurs.

"Our first goal is to make PHEVs a contender in the national policy debate...
Breaking That Dirty Oil Habit

AN UNLIKELY ALLIANCE OF HAWKS, DOVES AND GREENS HAS A PLAN TO HELP AMERICA GUZZLE LESS GAS. COULD IT WORK?

BY UNMESH KHER

A Republican loyalist and canny political strategist, C. Boyden Gray has been quite busy lately. The former White House counsel to the first President Bush heads up the Committee for Justice, an advocacy group that has worked closely with the White House to push Bush 2's most controversial judicial nominees through the Senate. John Podesta has been busy too. The former chief of staff to President Bill Clinton who today leads the liberal Center for American Progress has worked hard to foil Gray. Yet even as the two party generals square off in a battle that has roiled the Senate, they manage

- Joining forces: From left, National Wildlife Federation CEO Larry Schweiger, conservative activist Gray, U.N. Foundation chief Tim Wirth and the U.N.-bashing Gaffney are working together on energy policy

- Mick Henderson, left, with Kentucky farmers, is producing the fuel that could help the 500-m.p.g. car become a reality
IN CALIFORNIA, HANSSEN’S FIRM WILL SOON SELL A KIT THAT CONVERTS A TOYOTA PRIUS INTO A PLUG-IN

to find common cause: energy policy. “Boydten and I agree on virtually nothing,” says Podesta, “but we do agree on this: the security of the country depends on a whole new generation of vehicles and fuels.”

What does alternative energy have to do with national security? Gray and Podesta are part of an unlikely alliance of neoconservatives, farmers and union and environmental leaders who want to wean the U.S. of its oil habit—some for purely green reasons (to stave off global warming), but others for the sake of cutting U.S. dependence on the volatile Middle East. And they have some radical ideas about how to do it. “We live in a world in which a terrorist attack in the Middle East could push oil well over $100 a barrel and send the world economy into a tailspin,” says former CIA Director James Woolsey, now a vice president at consulting firm Booz Allen Hamilton. One organization he belongs to, the Energy Future Coalition, shot off a letter last month to Pete Domenici, chairman of the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, calling for a federal investment in alternative fuels and advanced automobile technology. But it’s another arm of this movement, the Set America Free alliance (which also counts Woolsey among its members), that has identified a Holy Grail of sorts. Co-founded by Frank Gaffney, the neoconservative chief of the Center for Security Policy, the group is touting the idea of a car that gets 500 m.p.g. of gasoline.

As oil prices have soared in recent years, there has been increasing attention on renewable-energy sources such as wind and solar power. But even if those sources are expanded, they would not change the U.S.'s fundamental dependence on foreign oil and its derivative, gasoline, to which our car-obsessed culture is addicted. Unless we could plug in our cars and charge them off the electrical grid instead of filling them up at the pump, all those options would leave us as hooked on gas as ever. And while pure electric-car technology has been around for years, it is plagued by a crucial problem: a lack of range.

Gaffney and his cohorts have envisioned a clever solution: a hybrid car that combines gas-free plug-in technology with the boost of made-in-the-U.S. ethanol-based fuel to give it range. The plug-in hybrid could run for short distances on batteries charged by the same grid that powers our home appliances. On longer drives, it would use a fuel mix of 80% ethanol—alcohol, in the U.S. made mainly from corn—and 20% gas. Given that half the cars on the road travel fewer than 20 miles a day, such hybrids would travel mostly on grid-charged battery power. The rest of the time, those plug-in hybrids would run primarily on alcohol, not imported gas.

A 500-m.p.g.-of-gas car may sound like a pie-in-the-sky dream. But in fact, it is technologically possible. Green-car enthusiasts in California are experimenting with innovative plug-in technology, while DaimlerChrysler will soon be testing its own plug-in van. And ethanol has long been used as a fuel. Indeed, Domenici’s committee last month adopted a measure in the energy bill requiring gasoline refiners to increase the ethanol they use each year to 8 billion gal. by 2012, up from 5 billion gal. mandated by the House.

That’s bound to raise hackles. Ethanol has always been controversial (see box). Most car companies, meanwhile, have little interest in any electric vehicles beyond the standard hybrid because they consider them too costly and limited in range for American tastes. “I don’t think [electric cars] will ever be a significant percentage of the vehicles out there,” says Sam Shelton of the Georgia Institute of Technology, citing such obstacles.

It would be too easy, though, to dismiss the 500-m.p.g. movement as all hype and hope. After all, not long ago, hybrids like the Toyota Prius sounded like a laughable idea. These days they are being snapped up by consumers more than willing to pay a premium. So before this pipe dream is summarily cast aside, it’s worth exploring. Could it be that the motley coalition of tree huggers and hawks is on to something?

PITCHING PLUG-INS

MEET GREG HANSSEN, A PARTNER IN A SMALL BATTERY-prototype testing firm in California called EnergyCS. Hanssen was approached last year by Felix Kramer for help in building a dashboard monitor for a Prius that he and CalCars, his group of plug-in advocates, had converted into a crude plug-in. (The original Prius’ batteries charge up when the car brakes.) Hanssen was inspired. He enlisted the support of another privately held firm, Clean-Tech, to devise a more sophisticated version of the plug-in Prius. Hanssen recently showed off his prototype at the 2005 Tour de Sol, a green-car race in Saratoga Springs, N.Y., where it didn’t win but did deliver a fuel economy of 102 m.p.g. over a 150-mile course. The cost of charging the batteries? A buck.

EnergyCS and Clean-Tech have launched a start-up called E-Drive Systems, which plans to sell by next year kits to convert the Prius into a plug-in (though the modifications will void the warranty). At speeds below 35 m.p.h., Hanssen’s Prius sits along on its 18 lithium batteries for up to 30 miles at a go—well within
the range envisioned by Gaffney. The conversion cost isn’t cheap: $15,000, which Hanson hopes to cut to around $10,000. “It won’t pay for itself in gas savings,” Hanson admits, “but neither does the Prius. People will do this for other, philosophical and environmental reasons.”

Toyota isn’t exactly jumping on the bandwagon. “Customers,” says Ed LaRocque, Toyota’s national manager of advanced technology, “are not telling us plug-in hybrids are something they’d like to see at no cost, let alone what we estimate would be an additional $15,000.” Other car companies, including Ford and General Motors, seem to feel the same way. But DaimlerChrysler sees the field differently. It has spent millions to modify a handful of gas and diesel-powered Mercedes Sprinter vans into plug-ins, which will be tested as early as this fall by commercial partners in the U.S., such as utilities. Chrysler says the vans can run 20 miles on batteries charged both via the socket and, like the Prius, by braking. Cost will matter, says Rolf Bartke, head of the Mercedes-Benz van division. “In the end it should be viable and economic for our customers.” Bartke says the aim is to bring the battery cost down below $10,000 within four years.

Several U.S. utilities are supporting the technology. Plug-in cars would open a new market for electricity at night, when utilities have excess capacity. In fact, the Electric Power Research Institute in Palo Alto, Calif., helped build the plug-in Sprinter. Ed Kjaer, director of electric transportation at Southern California Edison, argues that plug-ins represent a natural evolution of hybrid technology, which today essentially burns gas to generate electricity. “The more hybrids are sold,” he says, “the stronger the business case will become for the electric vehicle.”

**ETHANOL DREAMS**

**DAVID WIMPY, 49, CULTIVATES 800 ACRES OF CORN AND OTHER CROPS IN KENTUCKY’S HILLY AMISH COUNTRY**. As a member of the 2,300-strong Hopkinsville Elevator Cooperative, he is also part owner of the hottest new thing to hit town, Commonwealth Agri-Energy, an ethanol plant that started up a year ago in a stream-fed rock quarry a mile south of his land. The cooperative has a 94% stake in the $32 million plant, which has made an estimated $40 million in sales over the past year from ethanol and its by-products. Plant manager Mick Henderson says he expects that investors will get returns better than 13%. “Ethanol is a win-win for consumers, farmers and for the country,” says Wimpy.

If electricity provides half of the 500-m.p.g. dream, ethanol provides the other: an alternative to gas. Hopkinsville’s ethanol experience is hardly unique. Since 2001, 26 plants have been built in the U.S., bringing the total to 87, as political support for the fuel has grown. Roughly 40% of the plants are owned by farmers, although a single corporation, Archer Daniels Midland, retains a 25% share of the market.

Today’s ethanol boom has nothing to do with Gaffney & Co.’s extreme solution, but if ethanol use were to evolve into the mainstream, it would multiply the plug-in’s gas savings. Gaffney’s group calculates that if by 2025 all cars are hybrids, half of them plug-ins and all of them running on an 80% ethanol blend, U.S. gas imports could drop from the projected 20 million bbl. a day to 8 million bbl. But today it is not national security that drives ethanol demand so much as environmental regulation. Refiners buy ethanol because it helps gasoline burn more cleanly—and it is one of two additives the government requires polluted cities to use to cut down on certain tailpipe emissions. Because the traditional additive, a toxic substance called MTBE, tends to pollute groundwater, many states are opting for ethanol.

But there are huge debates about ethanol’s economic via-
bility. The Federal Government provides a 51¢ tax exemption to gasoline refiners for every gallon of ethanol used, to keep the product competitive. (Conservative activist Gray points out that the oil industry has long enjoyed far larger tax breaks.) And now, Senate mandate aside, Illinois Senator Barack Obama has slipped an amendment into the energy bill providing a $30,000 tax credit to encourage gas stations to pump “E85,” an 85% blend of ethanol and gas used by so-called flexible-fuel vehicles. There are already 4 million such cars on U.S. roads. The Set America Free plan calls for just such incentives.

Debate has long raged over whether ethanol takes more energy to make than it delivers—something called the energy balance. Most experts now agree that from cornfield to factory vat, the amount of energy expended in making the fuel accounts for about 80% of the energy in it. But refiners say ethanol is still an inefficient option. Because it tends to separate from gasoline in pipelines, it has to be trucked to terminals and blended there by specially modified machines. That increases costs. “There is a question about the real cost of this product,” says Robert Slaughter, president of the National Petrochemical & Refiners Association. “How many federal-assistance programs do you need to make it work?”

In fact, the real answer to such cost issues is bioethanol, which is the same stuff as ethanol but is made from wood or plant wastes like cornstalks. One of the few oil companies to back bioethanol is Shell. It invested in a pilot plant run by a small Canadian biotechnology firm named Iogen, which put itself on the map by shipping the first commercial batch of ethanol made from straw last year. Iogen CEO Brian Foody says he expects to break ground on a 50 million-gal.-a-year plant next year. The hawk-and-dove coalitions want bioethanol to fuel future cars. It’s loved as much by fiscal conservatives for turning waste into treasure as it is by environmentalists for its overwhelmingly positive energy balance. A bioethanol industry would even help deplete the global-warming gas carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, notes Woolsey. And corn ethanol can’t be produced in sufficient quantities to dent oil imports: roughly 4 billion gal. will be made this year. Vehicles in the U.S. consume that much gas in just 11 days.

Whatever the future of the electric car and bioethanol, the notion that America must end its oil habit is gaining currency in Washington. George W. Bush, the former Texan oilman, has begun talking up corn ethanol and clean diesel and has endorsed a $4,000 tax credit for purchases of hybrid cars. That has not gone unnoticed by energy’s new coalition of convenience, even if the President hasn’t yet mentioned plug-in hybrids or bioethanol. “We drive to high-tech jobs today in cars built with 100-year-old technology, using 100-million-year-old fuel,” says Podesta. “We can do better than that.” Maybe 500 m.p.g. isn’t so crazy after all. —With reporting by Marc Hequet/St. Paul, Kristin Kloberdanz/Hopkinsville and Jeffrey Ressser/Los Angeles

When the hawks and greens of Washington’s new anti-oil coalitions talk about ethanol fueling the future car, they aren’t talking about the brew distilled from cornstarch. What they are referring to is a more fiscally and environmentally defensible alcohol, brewed from prairie grasses or agricultural waste, like straw. Trouble is, the technology required to commercialize bioethanol is in its infancy.

People like CEO Steen Riisgaard, a passionate environmentalist who went into business because he thought he could do good, are helping the technology mature. Novoymzes, the $1 billion, Copenhagen-based company he leads, sells microbes and enzymes made from genetically engineered bugs that improve consumer products and make dirty industrial processes more environmentally friendly. But as the volume of ethanol brewed in the U.S. has doubled since 2001, to 3.4 billion gal., the farm-fuel business has become Novoymzes’ fastest-growing source of revenue.

Enzymes that help transform cornstarch into ethanol are fairly run-of-the-mill in biotech terms. The same can’t be said of those needed to brew bioethanol from indigestible plant fibers. Making enzymes efficient and cheap enough for that has long been an obstacle to a viable bioethanol industry. Canada’s Iogen is the only biotech firm to have shipped a batch of commercial bioethanol (see main story). But Novoymzes is making waves as well. It announced in March that with $17 million in U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) funding, it had reduced the cost of enzymes for making booze from corn stover from $5 per gal. of ethanol in 2001 to a mere 10¢ to 18¢.

“We are involved in this because we believe there is a market,” says Riisgaard, though he thinks a large bioethanol industry is still years away. With more funds from the DOE, Novoymzes will supply enzymes for a bioethanol plant to be built in Nebraska next year by a subsidiary of the Spanish firm Abengoa. More than a few people in Washington will be watching.

—By Unmesh Kher. Reported by Ulla Pion/Copenhagen
It's hard to imagine a more gripping state of affairs at the start of the 21st century. A cloud of smog hangs over our cities while the threat of global warming looms ever larger. Oil prices are rising to record highs and while there's no imminent danger of running out of petroleum, no one knows how long supplies will last. For a final dramatic touch, most of that oil sits beneath the powder-keg that is the Middle East.

A hydrogen hero is on the way, but many worry that we don't have time to wait, unsure of what happens if oil supplies drop off and we're caught without a safety net. A growing chorus is clamoring for a near-term solution, something that can be implemented now to significantly reduce oil consumption. The stage has been set for plug-in hybrids.

The plug-in hybrid is an evolution of the "conventional" hybrid vehicle. Plug-in hybrids function the same way, assisting the engine with battery power or electric energy captured during deceleration, but take the idea a step further. Increased battery capacity allows plug-ins to rely more on electricity and less on gasoline, extending electric-only driving range and delivering even better fuel economy. The extra electric power is drawn from the electrical grid by plugging into power outlets while a vehicle isn't being driven.

The virtue of the plug-in hybrid comes to light with some statistics. A majority of Americans live within 20 miles of their jobs and most trips are less than 20 miles long. With an electric-only range of up to 60 miles, daily drives to work in a plug-in hybrid might not require any gasoline at all as long as the battery is recharged each night. For longer trips, the vehicle reverts back to conventional hybrid operation. If plug-in hybrids are ever designed and built from the ground up, rather than being converted from existing models like we're seeing today, an even smaller engine could improve fuel economy at every stage.

Though the Toyota Prius is not a plug-in hybrid, it serves as a good platform for a conversion. The California Cars Initiative, a non-profit organization, first built one to show it could be done. The conversion turned out to be so promising...
that some companies are looking to make a for-profit business out of it.

Engineering firms EnergyCS and Clean-Tech have joined forces to form EDrive Systems, which is developing a conversion kit for the second-generation Toyota Prius. The kit removes the stock Panasonic nickel-metal-hydride (NiMH) battery and replaces it with a Saphion lithium-ion battery from Valence. The new battery adds 170 pounds to the Prius, but also makes about 9 kWh instead of the original’s 1.3 kWh. That means there’s much more electrical power available to drive the car.

Some careful software tweaks are made to handle the extra power of the hardware. The EDrive system takes advantage of a built-in “EV mode” that forces the Prius to run purely on electric power until speeds reach 33 mph. This ensures that no precious fuel is sapped until the computer deems it absolutely necessary. According to EDrive, in a stock Prius, the batteries would only provide about one mile in this mode; the company’s converted plug-in Prius extends that range to as much as 35 miles.

To further hold off engine intervention, the computer is told the battery is full until the actual state of charge dips below 20 percent. This bit of misinformation forces Toyota’s Hybrid Synergy Drive to inject as much electric power as possible into the drive system. After the battery is about 80 percent depleted, the EDrive Prius carries on like a normal hybrid and maintains the charge of the battery as needed. Once the EDrive Prius is parked, it’s plugged into an external 110-volt charger that can replenish a fully depleted battery in about seven to nine hours.

An additional dash-mounted readout precisely meters fuel consumption and displays how far the throttle pedal can be depressed before prompting the engine to start up. It’s a useful tool because driving style matters. Aggressive driving and 75 mph cruising will yield 70-80 mpg, say the EDrive folks, while relatively mellow driving earns well over 100 mpg. Low speed city driving and cruising at 55 mph can reportedly push fuel economy closer to 200 mpg. And when the battery is depleted after 50-60 miles of driving, fuel economy reverts back to the roughly 45-50 mpg of the stock Prius.

EDrive Systems hopes to sell its conversion kit for $10,000 to $12,000 in early 2006. At this cost, EDrive’s market
Greg Hanssen behind the wheel of his EDrive plug-in hybrid. *Green Car Journal* got over 100 mpg in this car.

is limited to those with the bucks to support making such a statement, but it’s a start.

The Prius is not the only vehicle lending itself to plug-in conversion. DaimlerChrysler is working with the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) to build 40 plug-in hybrid versions of its Sprinter commercial van for use in demonstration fleets. Electric boost comes from a 70 kW motor positioned between the transmission and clutch, which is fed by a 14 kWh NiMH battery stowed beneath the cargo floor.

Drivers of the plug-in Sprinter hybrid can push a button to put the vehicle in electric-only mode, which is good for a range of about 19 miles. When not selected, the hybrid’s electronic controller alternates power between the vehicle’s diesel engine and electric motor to optimize fuel economy, or combines the two when power demands are high. This plug-in variant is designed for recharging on Europe’s 230 volt network, a task that takes about six hours for a fully depleted battery.

The stock Sprinter, with its small, 4-cylinder diesel engine, is already quite the efficient hauler with fuel economy as high as 30 mpg. Converted to a plug-in hybrid, DaimlerChrysler says fuel economy improves anywhere from 10 to 50 percent, depending on use. That means up to 45 mpg from a commercial delivery vehicle – simply unheard of in its class. So far, DaimlerChrysler is the only automobile manufacturer producing its own plug-in hybrids.

One of the most notable forces behind the rising profile of the plug-in is Felix Kramer and his Palo Alto-based California Cars Initiative. The group is mobilizing support from fleets, government agencies, and private buyers in an attempt to break the vicious cycle that plagues many new technologies: Motorists won’t buy plug-ins on a large scale unless the price is right, and the price won’t come down until automakers are convinced there will be buyers.

Not content to wait around for the manufacturers, Kramer is looking at other ways to put plug-in hybrids on the road. The plan is to utilize venture capital, set up a Qualified Vehicle Modifier company that could work with automakers in a fully certified capacity, and convert existing hybrid models without voiding original vehicle warranties. In Kramer’s mind, conversion possibilities include Ford’s Escape Hybrid and models using Toyota’s Hybrid Synergy Drive such as the Prius, Highlander Hybrid, Lexus RX400h, and other upcoming models.

The potential of the plug-in hybrid in reducing emissions and oil dependency has put environmentalists and conservative think-tanks in an unusual position: They’re on the same side. Set America Free, the Center for Security Policy, and others have joined electric vehicle die-hards in calling for mass production of plug-in hybrids. Support from former Secretary of State George Shultz and former CIA director James Woolsey lends considerable credibility to the cause.

Despite this clamoring, the U.S. government has yet to respond in a big way. An amendment to the massive energy bill recently approved by President Bush allocates a relatively tiny $40 million for hybrid vehicle development, some of which could go toward plug-in hybrids...but there’s no guarantee.

This leaves local government to take charge. The City of Austin, Texas, with help from its municipal utility Austin Energy, has become the first city to develop an incentive plan for plug-in hybrids. “Plug-In Austin” is looking to raise $50-$100 million to provide
rebates on plug-in hybrid purchases for public and private use, as well as for running an educational campaign to generate consumer interest. Austin is one of 10 cities that will begin testing DaimlerChrysler’s Sprinter plug-in hybrid next year.

The “Plug-In Austin” campaign is designed to expand to other communities around the country. Representatives from Austin Energy are approaching the nation’s 50 largest cities in an effort to encourage them to replicate Austin’s program. Already, Seattle City Light in Washington state has shown interest in offering customers incentives to buy plug-in hybrid vehicles in the Puget Sound region. Across the country and across the political spectrum, the plug-in hybrid is winning fans.

Professor Andy Frank at the University of California, Davis is an ardent proponent of plug-in hybrids and, having built plug-in prototypes since 1972, is also one of the most experienced. Rather than an intermediary step to hydrogen, Professor Frank believes the plug-in could be an end in itself. A plug-in hybrid with a 60 mile electric range, like the ones Frank and his students build, reportedly uses only 10% gasoline and 90% electricity on an annual basis. “That 10% of gasoline could be replaced by biofuels,” says Frank, taking an interesting direction that could find gasoline use eliminated altogether.

The possibilities don’t end there. “We have the capability, for the first time, of integrating the electric grid with transportation,” explains Frank. The electrical grid right now has enough excess capacity to support half the nation’s vehicle fleet if they were converted to plug-in hybrids, says Frank. The energy is domestically produced, the infrastructure already exists, and, though much of our electricity today comes from coal-burning powerplants, renewable and non-polluting sources such as wind and solar power could play a larger role. “People don’t think of plug-ins as alternative fuel cars, but they are,” says Frank. “You could be running your car on solar or wind power.”

At less than a dollar per gallon during off-peak hours, when most plug-ins would be recharged, plug-in hybrid drivers would be paying a lot less in fuel costs. As for the extra up-front cost, Frank points to a UC Davis study that shows how automakers could build plug-in hybrids by adding only $7,000 to the price of a $20,000 car. So why isn’t this already happening? Some in the auto industry maintain that battery technology isn’t ready yet, a claim that Frank and others dismiss. More significantly, Frank asserts there’s a general reluctance to invest, with struggling giants in the industry unwilling to take risks unless convinced there’s a good chance that a sizeable return will result.

“What I’m trying to demonstrate is that if a bunch of students can do it, the car companies should be able to do even better.” Andy Frank, the California Cars Initiative, the City of Austin, and many others feel it’s up to them to take the lead in getting the word out and generating demand. With the success they’ve met, and the wide-ranging benefits that plug-ins put within reach, there’s every reason to believe that at least some in the auto industry are paying very close attention.
Hybrid-Car Tinkerers Scoff at No-Plug-In Rule

BY DANNY HAKIM

DETOUR, March 31—Ron Gremban and Felix Kramer have modified a Toyota Prius so it can be plugged into a wall outlet. This does not make Toyota happy. The company has spent millions of dollars persuading people that hybrid electric cars like the Prius never need to be plugged in and work just like normal cars. So has Honda, which even ran a commercial that showed a guy wandering around his Civic hybrid fruitlessly searching for a plug.

But the idea of making hybrid cars that have the option of being plugged in is supported by a diverse group of interests, from neoconservatives who support greater fuel efficiency to utilities salivating at the chance to supplant oil with electricity. If you were able to plug a hybrid in overnight, you could potentially use a lot less gas by cruising for long stretches on battery power only. But unlike purely electric cars, which take hours to charge and need frequent recharging, you would not have to plug in if you did not want to.

"I've gotten anywhere from 65 to over 100 miles per gallon," said Mr. Gremban, an engineer at CalCars, a small nonprofit group based in Palo Alto, Calif. He gets 40 to 45 miles per gallon driving his normal Prius. And EnergyCS, a small company that has collaborated with CalCars, has modified another Prius with more sophisticated batteries; they claim their Prius gets up to 180 mpg and can travel more than 30 miles on battery power.

"If you cover people's daily commute, maybe they'll go to the gas station once a month," said Mr. Kramer, the founder of CalCars. "That's the whole idea.

Conventional hybrid electric cars already save gas. But if one looks at growth projections for oil consumption, hybrids will slow the growth rate of oil imports only marginally, at best, with the amount depending on how many hybrids are sold. To actually stop the growth of oil imports and potentially even reduce consumption, automakers have focused on developing cars powered by hydrogen fuel cells.

But fuel cells required a complete reinvention of the automobile, not to mention the nation's gas stations, and the technology to put them on the road is still a long way from fruition. Advocates of plug-in hybrids say the technology for these vehicles is available now to the point that people are building them in garages.

"All of the relevant technology is at hand," said Frank Gaffney, founder of the Center for Security Policy and an assistant defense secretary in the Reagan administration. His group was among a coalition of right-leaning organizations that released an energy plan this year promoting plug-ins as one way to increase fuel efficiency in light of the instability of the Middle East.

"If you're thinking about this as an environmental issue first and foremost, you're missing the point," Mr. Gaffney said. "The only dependence on foreign oil, he added, is national security emergency.

Toyota, however, says the plug-in is not ready for prime time.

"They say this is the next great thing, but it just isn't," said David Hermance, an executive engineer at Toyota. "The electric utilities really want to sell electricity and they want to sell it to the transportation sector because that expands their market. They have an agenda."

But the plug-in hybrid is not just coming out of the garages of enthusiasts. Gas stations in California. DaimlerChrysler has developed several dozen plug-in hybrid vans in cooperation with the Electric Power Research Institute, a group financed by more than 300 utilities, including the New York Power Authority and Southern California Edison. Testing of the vans will start this year, and one will be used by The New York Times on a newspaper delivery route in Manhattan. Several small companies are also developing or have developed plug-in hybrid prototypes.

"We think it's the only way to rekindle interest in electric transportation," said Robert Graham, who manages research into electric vehicles for the Research Institute. "There are no technology hurdles at all. It's simply a matter of getting the vehicle built out on the street and getting people to recognize its value."

For power companies, the notion of people plugging in cars overnight represents not only a new way to make money, but the vehicles would also draw power mostly during off hours which would improve efficiency, because power plants cannot simply shut down at night as demand diminishes.

As it stands, though, modifying a hybrid like the Prius to enable it to plug in would add perhaps $2,000 to $3,000 to the cost of a car that is already roughly $3,000 more expensive than conventional gas cars. Advocates say the costs would be much lower if such cars were mass-produced by a major automaker.

But Nick Cappa, a spokesman for DaimlerChrysler, is cautious, calling the technology one of many the company was exploring.

"The concern on plug-in hybrids is that we not substitute addiction to one polluting fuel for addiction to another polluting fuel," said Dan Beckert, the head of the Sierra Club's global warming and energy program. "Coal is more polluting than gasoline, and nearly 60 percent of U.S. electricity is generated by burning coal."

Roger Duncan, a deputy general manager of Austin Energy, a utility owned by the City of Austin, Tex., said that "it's hard to say what impact it will have on the nation as a whole," but that in regions that use cleaner-than-average power sources, like Austin or California, it would provide a clear emissions benefit. Mr. Duncan even analyzes a day when drivers could be paid to return energy to the grid during times of excessive demand.

Plug-in hybrid prototypes have been around for several years, but the idea of modifying a Prius stemmed from the curiosity of some Prius owners in the United States. Mr. Kramer said they were aroused by a mysterious unmarked button on their Prius and discovered that in Priuses sold in Europe and Japan, the button allows the car to drive for a mile in electric-only mode. Mr. Hermance said the feature was disabled in Priuses sold in the United States because of complications it would have created in emissions-testing rules.

Mr. Kramer said "a bunch of engineers reverse-engineered it in the United States and figured out how to hack it."

But they soon wanted to travel on batteries for more than a mile and began to collaborate through CalCars on adding batteries to the Prius that would allow for longer pure electric travel. With the help of dozens of volunteer engineers collaborating online, the group retrofitted a Prius in Mr. Gremban's garage to travel about 10 miles on nothing but battery power.

Mr. Duncan said the plug-in hybrid was "very realistic, because it's not that big a leap in technology."

"Look what Felix has done with Prius off the street," he added. "This isn't rocket science."
Summary of Renewable Fuel Options

The most promising renewable transportation fuel alternatives meet four criteria: (1) they can be produced from ample domestic feedstocks; (2) they have low or near-zero carbon emissions during production and use; (3) they work in existing vehicles and with existing infrastructure; and (4) they have the potential to become cost-competitive with petroleum fuels given sufficient time and resources dedicated to technology development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Summary of Renewable Fuel Options</th>
<th>Hydrogen</th>
<th>Corn Ethanol</th>
<th>Cellulosic Ethanol</th>
<th>Bio-Diesel</th>
<th>Electricity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ample, Domestic Resource</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Hydrogen can be produced from water through electrolysis or by separating hydrogen from fossil fuels. The U.S. has plentiful coal deposits and abundant water supplies to generate sufficient hydrogen to fuel the domestic transportation system.</td>
<td>In 2003, roughly 7% of the U.S. corn crop was used to make ethanol. Corn ethanol production will continue to grow, but even use of 100% of the current crop would displace only 25% of current gasoline use on an energy-equivalent basis.</td>
<td>Greater diversity of biomass and waste feedstocks means cellulosic ethanol is likely to be less limited by competing land uses for food and forest products. NCEP analysis suggests potential for substantial production with constraining food supply.</td>
<td>Bio-diesel can potentially be made from a wide variety of organic materials, including animal and crop waste, vegetable oils, used grease, etc. Waste quantities generated in the U.S. could support significant production if new technologies for making bio-diesel prove cost-competitive and widely applicable.</td>
<td>The diversity of fuels and technologies used to provide electricity is now much greater than the diversity of fuels used in the transportation sector. Moreover, nearly all electricity used in the U.S. is produced using domestic resources.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-Carbon</td>
<td>It depends . . .</td>
<td>Three times more carbon intensive per mile than gasoline if produced using electric from existing power plants. Use of natural gas, renewable, nuclear, or coal power with sequestration would make hydrogen low-carbon, but these technologies will provide greater benefits by directly displacing fossil-based electricity than by indirectly displacing gasoline.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unlike corn ethanol, has potential to achieve near-zero net carbon emissions. Cultivation of cellulosic feedstocks requires very low energy inputs and, if sustainably managed, the carbon released during fuel combustion is re-absorbed by the growth of new feedstocks.</td>
<td>It Depends . . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compatible with Existing Infrastructure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>As a gas, would require a new national distribution infrastructure estimated to cost hundreds of billions of dollars.</td>
<td>It Depends . . .</td>
<td>Can be blended with gasoline at varying levels, but cannot now be transported by pipeline and must be moved by barge or truck.</td>
<td>It Depends . . .</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>New synthetic, waste-derived biodiesels are compatible with existing diesel engines and infrastructure. Some existing vegetable oil bio-diesel can cause problems in older engines at blends greater than 20%.</td>
<td>Infrastructure and vehicle compatibility issues are the same as for corn ethanol.</td>
<td>New technologies with renewable power and storage would be required for large-scale operation.</td>
<td>Provided it is produced from agricultural crops or wastes, bio-diesel would have very low carbon emissions (similar to cellulosic ethanol).</td>
<td>Assuming plug-in hybrids with short all-electric range, recharging could be done using the existing grid.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentially Competitive with Gasoline by 2020</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Substantial technological breakthroughs and dramatic cost reductions are required. National Academy of Sciences estimates 50-year time horizon to full development.</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Technology is mature, but still costs more than twice as much to produce as gasoline (~$1.40/gal). Current market for corn ethanol is supported by large public subsidies.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Significant progress still needed, but costs have already declined by a factor of three since 1980. NCEP analysis suggests production cost below $0.80/gal. is attainable.</td>
<td>Infrastructure and vehicle compatibility issues are the same as for corn ethanol.</td>
<td>New technologies with renewable power and storage would be required for large-scale operation.</td>
<td>Provided it is produced from agricultural crops or wastes, bio-diesel would have very low carbon emissions (similar to cellulosic ethanol).</td>
<td>Assuming plug-in hybrids with short all-electric range, recharging could be done using the existing grid.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These days, good news about plug-in hybrids keeps coming.

For the latest, subscribe to the CalCars-News Archive at www.CalCars.org

Sample postings:

- James Woolsey testimony to Senate Foreign Relation
- We've included below the second half of the testimony (the fir.

- Int’l EDTA Conf/Expo Vancouver Dec. 6-8 expands PH
- The international Electric Drive Transportation Association.

- 9 up-to-date PHEV papers online from APPA Symposium
- Excellent long weekend reading: ...

- Full list of sponsors of Senate & House Fuel Choices leg
- This update, from SetAmericaFree.org, can also be viewed (wit

- Minn. Star-Tribune: US Racing the Clock to Find Alter
- Includes comment on PHEVs from former head of Ford’s hybrid

- Green Car Congress report on LA APPA meeting
- See URL also for comments on this blog entry ...
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1. Specifications for PRIUS+  
2. Performance data of current prototype  
3. Future prototypes and consumer conversions  
4. Preliminary performance data of EnergyCS Li-Ion Conversion  
5. How and when can I get a Plug-In Hybrid?  
6. About The California Cars Initiative (sponsor of PRIUS+ Project)

This summary of three conversions completed since fall 2004 brings you up to speed on what we've done -- and where we're heading. This Fact Sheet is a work in progress (check at http://www.priusplus.org to make sure you're reading the latest version, and, if you're reading the email version, pick up a neatly formatted (currently 4-page version). For the latest technical discussions, see the EAA Conversion Group URL below. Subscribe to the Newsletter to keep up with the latest news coverage and milestones.

Note that all our mpg reports also include electricity use -- no free lunch! Contact us if you're interested in becoming involved!

-Felix Kramer fkramer@calcars.org  Founder, California Cars Initiative  
-Ron Gremban rgremban@calcars.org -- Technical Lead, PRIUS+ Project

http://www.cals.org and  http://www.priusplus.org Info  
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/calcars-news CalCars-PHEV Newsletter  
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/priusplus/ PRIUS+ PHEV Conversion Group

1. SPECIFICATIONS FOR PRIUS+ (FIRST PRIUS CONVERSION)  
* Conversion platform is Ron Gremban's stock 2004 Prius hybrid (HEV).  
* Stock Prius hybrid battery pack (Panasonic nickel-metal hydride (NiMH) 6.5 Ah, 201.6 Volt, 99 lb/45kg.) remains unused during PHEV operation and can be used in normal hybrid mode as needed, e.g., for comparison (in future conversions, this battery will probably be removed).  
* CalCars' initial battery pack, completely replacing Prius pack for our first prototype, we used low-performance, short-life but resilient lead acid (PbA) for testing purposes and to obtain design criteria for higher-performance packs): 18 electric bicycle B&B 20Ah 12Volt SLA batteries from ElectricRider.com. (nominal 12 Ah, 2.4 kWh total at the car's high discharge rates, 216 Volt, 260 lb without associated hardware and components). (Pack replaced with new PbA batteries Oct 2005, after 11 months and approx. 200 charging cycles, pack unable to handle 120A peak discharges beyond 70% of its capacity.)  
* Batteries positioned in empty well below hatchback deck, with independent manually switchable air cooling system.  
* Batteries recharged via standard 120-volt outlet in 3 hours with Brusa NLG5 charger from MetricMind.com.  
* Battery Management System and Controller/Display Unit (CDU) by Energy Control Systems Engineering (EnergyCS.com) of Monrovia, CA, replaced Toyota's Battery ECU. No change to the rest of Toyota's Hybrid Synergy Drive (HSD) -- what used to be called Toyota Hybrid System (THS).  
* Data from battery and CAN (Controller Area Network) bus interface. Dashboard analog meters display battery voltage and current. EnergyCS in-dash digital display includes battery voltage and current, Amp-hours used from the battery, vehicle power requested (e.g. via throttle position), battery state-of-charge (SOC) reported to THS, and gas used/trip (thousandths of gallons).  
* Simulated State of Charge information sent to THS is set semi-automatically to force energy use and regenerative braking regimen (automation has been fine-tuned in later iterations of the EnergyCS controller).  
* Configuration permits rapid reversion to standard hybrid operation using the Prius's Battery Management System and the retained original battery.  
* Operation permits electric-only mode at up to 34 mph (using reverse-engineered "EV" button available on European and Asian Priuses; above 34 mph, battery energy continues to assist the engine, contributing to lower gasoline consumption. The PbA battery is good for 10 all-electric miles, 20 miles of doubled gasolinemileage, or mixes of the two. Then operation reverts to normal HEV mode, still using the new battery pack.

2. PHEV PERFORMANCE DATA WITH LEAD-ACID BATTERIES  
* Heavy lead-acid batteries add approx. 300 lb (10%) total, reducing mileage by approx. 5 mpg (10%) in standard HEV operation on city streets (because of acceleration losses), but by little or nothing at highway speeds (where wind resistance is the main factor). Lower weight from removing the unused original pack and lower internal resistance of future battery packs is expected to increase the efficiency of standard HEV operation sufficiently to restore original standard HEV city mileage even when grid-charging energy is not involved.

Equivalent mpg numbers and operation costs depend on patterns of use (total miles driven/day, speeds driven, etc.).

The following examples show improved performance (expected to be better with lighter, more efficient batteries. IMPORTANT: low PHEV and HEV mileage is due to short runs, hilly Marin County terrain, and other local factors.

* Under 10-mile all-electric propulsion (at under 34 mph), infinite mpg (i.e., no gasoline use) plus 262 grid Watt-hours/mile vs. 40-45 mpg as a normal HEV.
* 14 mile round trips, including approx. 10 miles on hilly freeways: 80 mpg + 200 grid Wh/mi, compared to 36 actual HEV mpg on the same course, driving with the extra battery weight -- otherwise maybe 40 mpg.
* 26-28 mile trips with many surface streets: 60 mpg +144 grid Wh/mi.  
* Beyond 20 miles/day (40 miles/day with NiMH or 60 miles/day with Li-Ion batteries), normal HEV mileage -- except better mileage on long descents due to ability to store more recovered energy -- and no further electricity use.

All-electric miles: power cost, approx. 1.5 cents/mile (assumption of 310 grid Wh/mi and 5 cents/kWh on California off-peak EV "E-9" (PG&E) rate, and not amortizing battery cost), vs. approx. 5.6 cents/gasoline mile ($2.50/gallon, 45 mpg). (2.5 cents for 10 cents/kWh rate.)
3. ADDITIONAL PROTOTYPES, CONVERSIONS FOR CONSUMERS

* Nickel-metal hydride (Ni-MH) (30 Ah, 6.5 kWh, 190 lb extra after removal of existing battery); up to 20-25 mile all-electric range or 40-50 mile assisted range. In Oct 2005, CalCars announced a development program with Electro Energy Inc. (NASDAQ EEEI) to test their bi-polar Ni-MH batteries.

* Lithium-ion (Li-Ion) (35-40 Ah, 9 kWh, 160-190 lb extra after removal of existing hybrid battery) for the EnergyCS Prototype/EDrive cars (see next section); up to 30 mile all-electric range or 60 mile assisted range. Improved performance as well as additional electric range can be expected from the above batteries, due to significantly lower internal resistance losses.

* "Do-It Yourself" project for advanced experimenters with experience in high-voltage projects initiated by the Electric Auto Association in October 2005, timetable for specifications and plans undetermined.

* New efforts by CalCars on other platforms, including Ford Escape Hybrid.

* We estimate automakers could provide small 30-mile range PHEVs for $3,000 more than a hybrid, $5,000 more than a non-hybrid.

* For the latest details (between updates of this Fact Sheet) see the CalCars Vehicles page and subscribe to our CalCars News (see section 5 below).

4. VERY PRELIMINARY ENERGYSYS LI-ION PERFORMANCE DATA

EnergyCS's version of PRIUS+, completed Feb. 2005, uses off-the-shelf Valence Technology Saphion U Li-Ion batteries (for pack spec see Section 3 above), plus specialized monitoring and control circuits. These automatically select EV operation at low speeds during low power usage, and provide electric motor benefits at all speeds. This vehicle will be the starting point for retrotifs to be available in 2006 from EDrive Systems. (CalCars and EDrive have no contractual or relationship; we support their efforts.) Equivalent mpg numbers and operation costs depend on patterns of use (total miles driven/day, speeds driven). EnergyCS will document performance under third-party testing auspices. Following are examples reported by EnergyCS:

* Under 35-mile trip all-electric propulsion (at under 34 mph), infinite mpg (i.e., no gasoline) plus 200 Watt-hours/mile.

* 70 mile trip, 80% 55 mph freeway, 20% city: 120-180 mpg + 115-150 grid Wh/mi, compared to est. 55 mpg as a normal HEV.

* Beyond 50-60 miles/day, normal HEV mileage -- except better mileage on long desents due to ability to store more recovered energy -- and no further electricity use.

All-electric miles: power cost approx. 1.0 cents/mile (assumption of 200 Wh/mi and 5 cents/kWh on California off-peak EV "E-9" (PG&E) rate, or 2 cents/mile at 10 cents/kWh electricity, not amortizing battery cost), vs. approx. 5.6 cents/gasoline mile ($2.50/gallon, 45 mpg).

5. HOW AND WHEN CAN I GET A PLUG-IN HYBRID?

Thanks for asking. Quick answer: most people will have to wait for automakers to build them. To keep people up-to-date on the progress of CalCars, plug-in hybrids (PHEVs) in general, and conversions, please join our CalCars-News list. At http://groups.yahoo.com/group/calcars-news, you can subscribe to our low-traffic newsletter -- or watch the News Archive for our progress.

Prius conversions will operate like any other Prius, with no necessity to drive slower or differently. They will re-charge overnight from conventional 120-volt outlets (no need to hunt for a place to plug in during the day).

The initial EDrive Prius systems are proof of concept prototypes. EDrive Systems, LLC is working with technology partners EnergyCS, Clean-Tech and Valence Technology to reduce component, manufacturing and installation costs. EDrive's goal is to have a commercial retrofit option available in 2006 with an installed cost of $10-$12,000. Initial systems will be installed in Southern California. Additional licensed installers may make EDrive systems available elsewhere later in 2006. To find out more, see the EDrive Frequently Asked Questions at http://www.edrivesystems.com/Edrive-FAQ.html

EDrive's first conversions will be for 2004-2006 Prius (not 1997-2003 "Classic"). Later, conversions from EDrive, CalCars or others, including the EAA project described in Section 3 may be possible for other Toyota and Ford hybrids. Because of Honda's different architecture for Insight, Civic, Accord (in Integrated Motor Assist cars, the engine always runs when the electric motor is in use), and we'll wait for Honda to make PHEVs.

Toyota could decide that the conversion voids some or part of your car or hybrid system warranty (unless the company worries that will tarnish its green image). We won't know how dealers will respond to service requests for this car until we start driving them. Read the Specialty Equipment Marketing Association perspective on warranties and aftermarket conversions.

To learn more about PHEVs, hybrids and alternative fuel vehicles:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/priusplus/ - PRIUS+ PHEV Conversion Group
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gridable-hybrids -- PHEV general discussions
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Prius-2G -- 2004 Prius issues
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Prius_Technical_Stuff -- Prius nuts and bolts
http://www.priuschat.com -- general Prius info and talk
http://www.hybridcars.com -- all about hybrids
http://www.evworld.com/electrichybrid.dfm -- focus on PHEVs at site for general coverage of alternative fuel and advanced technology vehicles

Meanwhile, we thank you in advance for your encouragement, and hope you'll spread the word about our efforts. If you know of any individuals in a position to discuss fleet purchases, provide us with substantial support or celebrity endorsements, please send them our way. If you want to contact automakers to tell them you'd like PHEVs, we suggest you contact your local dealer, and feel free to send a copy of any email you write to info@calcars.org.
6. INTRODUCING CALCARS, SPONSOR OF THE PRIUS+ PROJECT

The California Cars Initiative <http://www.calcars.org> is a Palo Alto-based nonprofit startup. We’re a group of entrepreneurs, engineers and environmentalists promoting high-efficiency, low-emission cars and harnessing buyer demand to help commercialize advanced technologies. Somewhat uniquely, we focus both on public policy and technology development. Formed in 2002, our efforts for “100+MPG Hybrids” began to be noticed in early 2005 when we were covered in The New York Times, Business Week, TIME, Newsweek, National Public Radio, and local and national TV. (See <http://www.calcars.org/kudos.html> for print, audio and video clips.)

We’re demonstrating the immediate opportunity and benefits of “plug-in” hybrids (PHEVs). The next generation of hybrid cars can be based entirely on existing components. They’re basically like some current hybrids but with larger batteries and the ability to re-charge conveniently, so local travel is electric, yet the vehicle has unlimited range. We see it as the future pathway to multi-fuel and biofuel/Cellulosic ethanol PHEVs -- the cars that get 500 MPG (of gasoline) that people are talking about. Here’s how we describe PHEVs:

It’s like having a second small fuel tank you always use first. You get to fill it at home with electricity at an equivalent cost of under $1/gallon. How much under depends on your car and your electric rate. You refill from an ordinary 120-volt socket, with energy that’s much cleaner, cheaper and not imported. Or another way of thinking about this: at $3 for a gallon of gas, driving a non-hybrid car costs 8-20 cents/mile (depending on its MPG). With a PHEV, all-electric local travel and commuting can drop to 2-4 cents/mile.

We began with a prototype conversion we call “PRIUS+” to bring attention to plug-in hybrids and encourage car makers to build them. We “green-tuned” a stock 2004 Prius by adding batteries and grid-charging. (We replaced the battery control system but didn’t modify the hybrid controls.) Our proof-of-concept used lead-acid batteries. We document our effort at our open-source-style technology discussion group at <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/priusplus> (see chronology from June ’04-May ’05 at <http://autos.groups.yahoo.com/group/priusplus/message/421>). We’re working with Electro Energy Inc. (NASDAQ: EEEI) to demonstrate the viability for PHEVs of nickel-metal hydride (Ni-MH) batteries used in current hybrids: see <http://autos.groups.yahoo.com/group(calcars-news/message/175>. And we expect to work with other battery suppliers.

EDrive Systems LLC, a for-profit integration company in Southern California <http://www.edrivesystems.com>, installed even better lithium-ion (Li-Ion) packs to get 100+MPG (plus costs of electric power). EDrive intends to begin selling PRIUS+–style retrofits in early 2006, with a goal of pricing conversions at $10-$12,000. At <http://autos.groups.yahoo.com/group/calcars-news/message/37> on May 2 we explained how our efforts intersect. View their Frequently Asked Questions at <http://www.edrivesystems.com/Edrive-FAQ.html>. A separate group of engineers experienced with high voltage has started a “do-it-yourself” conversion project we are advising: <http://www.seattleeva.org/wiki/EAA-PHEV>.

We’re also involved in advocacy and public policy, bringing together the growing attention given to this “up-and-coming” technology. New organizations that have in part been catalyzed by our efforts include SetAmericaFree, Plug-In Austin (soon to go national as Plug-In Partners), PlugInAmerica, and a trade association, the Plug-In Hybrid Consortium. A bipartisan Congressional coalition has introduced legislation promoting flex-fuel plug-in hybrids. We’re educating the large market of car buyers who will pay extra for all the benefits to them and society of better cars. We’re presenting PHEVs, along with wind and solar power, as a coordinated response to two of today’s greatest challenges: global warming and energy security. We’ve spoken at high-visibility venues including the Palo Alto Research Center (former Xerox PARC) Forum. We’ve recently engaged with noted designer/architect William McDonough, responsible for the new Ford River Rouge plant, to incorporate Cradle to Cradle approaches to our future strategies.

Our goal is to motivate automakers to build PHEVs for a market we expect to expand as the Kyoto Protocols and parallel state and international greenhouse gas initiatives are phased in. We’re exploring with public officials ways to provide incentives to auto makers to build PHEVs.

Working with other PHEV advocates, we’re developing innovative ways to meet expected demand from utility, government and corporate fleets, and early adopters for 10,000-100,000 vehicles before a car company delivers production PHEVs. To take advantage of that who-knows-how-long window of opportunity, we’re exploring ways for a California company to partner as a Qualified Vehicle Modifier (QVM) with an auto maker to design, assemble and sell PHEVs -- at a price several thousand dollars higher than conventional hybrids, which would provide a lower lifetime cost of ownership than any other vehicle type. For info on our plans see <http://www.calcars.org/sjmcerney-vcinsider+calcars-opportunity.pdf>. Conversion possibilities include the Ford Escape hybrid, forthcoming hybrids from Hyundai and others, and all cars that use Toyota’s “Hybrid Synergy Drive” (Prius, Lexus, Highlander, Nissan).

We’re a mostly-volunteer effort. We’ve gotten contributions from, among others, a well-known entrepreneur and the Energy Foundation, with others to come. We accept tax-deductible donations and offer a unique bumper sticker to Charter Sponsors.

Founder Felix Kramer says, “I’m a startup person and environmentalist going way back <http://www.nlightning.com/resume.html>. In 2001, I sold eConstructors.com (marketplace for web development) and began advising Rocky Mountain Institute/Amory Lovins’ Hypercar Inc. My change in focus from that futuristic simulation to immediate solutions led to the launch of CalCars in 2002. My personal motivation evolved from general environmental goals to seeing PHEVs as a keystone component of a strategy to address global warming both nationally and internationally. See <http://www.calcars.org/globalwarming.html>. I envision millions of PHEVs, charged from off-peak electricity from a modernized grid and from distributed photovoltaic and wind power, with the range extension engine powered by zero-carbon cellulose ethanol, as a way to significantly reduce the more than 30% of greenhouse gases that come from transportation. See my blog, Power, Plugs and People at <http://www.hybridcars.com/blogs/power>.”

California Cars Initiative info@calcars.org
PO Box 61045 Palo Alto, CA 94306
The Plug-In Hybrid Development Consortium is made up of component suppliers working together to accelerate the commercial production of plug-in hybrid vehicles (PHEV). Consortium members cooperate to identify specifications, develop compatible technologies and deliver innovative new system solutions that make affordable plug-in hybrids possible.

The Consortium’s cooperative efforts help automakers shortcut years of research and development, to leap ahead of the competition and offer the next generation of hybrid vehicles at a price that makes sense.

In addition to providing automakers with production ready PHEV components and system designs, the Consortium works to build political support and secure new funding to help automakers build PHEV prototypes using new technology from Consortium members.

We believe hybrid technology can improve every car... like fuel injection and electronic ignition. By helping to reduce the cost of plug-in hybrid components, the Consortium can help make PHEVs more affordable.

According to a U.S. Department of Transportation survey*, most people drive less than 50 miles a day and only 5% of passenger cars travel more than 100 miles a day. By designing cars around the way we drive, the next generation hybrid could drive 50 miles a day in clean all electric mode without stopping for gas, and automatically switch to hybrid mode when needed. Using clean renewable electric fuel, generated in the US, costs just 1/4 the price of petroleum, often imported from abroad.

Working together we can provide automakers with the technology they need to deliver plug-in hybrids that make sense.

We invite innovators everywhere who share this vision to join us.

*Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration, Nationwide Personal Transportation Survey, Volpe National Transportation Systems Center, Cambridge, MA.
Plug-In Hybrid Municipal Plan

Building a Market for Gas-Optional Hybrids

Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles: The near-term solution

- PHEVs use the same technology as the popular hybrids on the road today, but have a larger battery that can be recharged by plugging into a standard home outlet.
- A hybrid gets about twice the fuel economy of a conventional car. A plug-in hybrid gets about twice the fuel economy of a hybrid.
- An “electric” gallon of gas will cost 70-80 cents at prevailing electric rates versus the $2.00+ national average gasoline price.
- PHEVs outfitted with a battery pack providing a 40-mile electric range could power, using the all-electric mode, more than 60% of the total annual miles traveled by the average U.S. driver.
- Pollution is easier to manage at a central point such as the stacks of power plants rather than from millions of vehicles tail pipes. Many power plants today are being modified to lower emissions, and a number of older plants are being retired. Wind-generated power, solar and other forms of renewable energy are pollution free and are becoming more available. The overnight charging of PHEVs matches well with wind-generated electricity (the most abundant green power), the majority of which is produced overnight due to wind patterns.

Municipal Plan

- Establish community grass-roots campaign to demonstrate that a market exists for PHEVs.
- Campaign components include rebates, “soft” fleet orders, petitions and endorsements.
- Campaign partners are local and state governments, utilities and environmental, consumer and business organizations.

Frequently asked Questions about Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEV)

Are PHEVs available today?
There are currently no commercially produced PHEVs. However, many prototypes have proven their practicality. The Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and DaimlerChrysler have built a Dodge Sprinter PHEV delivery van and plan to test 10 of the vehicles in cities across America by the end of 2006. EDrive Systems has introduced a commercial retrofit that converts a Prius into a plug-in hybrid.

Does the plug-in technology work?
Yes. This has been clearly demonstrated by several sedan and SUV conversions at the Hybrid Center at the University of California at Davis. Recent modifications of Toyota’s popular Prius have attracted considerable attention to what have been called 100+ MPG hybrids, and, when the gasoline is replaced by bio-fuels, 500+ MPG hybrids. A California non-profit, California Cars, modified a Prius by adding a 2.4 kWh lead-acid pack to prove that it could be done. Then, an R&D company, EnergyCS, replaced the standard 1.3 kWh battery pack with a 9 kWh battery pack. The lithium-ion batteries came from Valence Technology, an Austin-based company. The larger battery pack was sufficient to provide half of the power needed to drive the first 60 miles each day. The cost of the charge was about 72 cents. It’s like having a second small fuel tank, only you fill this one with electricity at an equivalent cost of under $1 per gallon, depending on your car and your electric rate. You refill at home, from an ordinary 120-volt socket, with energy that’s much cheaper and cheaper and not imported.

What is the problem then?
The cost of the batteries needed to power a PHEV a sufficient distance is considered to be the stumbling block. Generally, every 10 miles of charge capacity of a battery will add about $1,000 in cost. That additional cost, however, is offset by lower operating costs since an “electric” gallon of gas will cost about one-third the cost of gasoline, allowing a PHEV to achieve four times the gas mileage of a conventional vehicle. Even at current prices, EPRI has shown that the total lifetime cost to buy, operate and maintain a PHEV is lower than that of a gasoline car or a conventional hybrid.

What distance must a commercially produced PHEV be able to achieve on the battery alone?
A battery pack capable of powering a PHEV 40 miles could meet the daily driving needs of the majority of drivers without requiring the use of the gasoline mode of the PHEV at all. Some 78% of Americans live within 20 miles of their jobs. In many cases, drivers of PHEVs would only need to fill up with gasoline a few times a year, versus the current 24-36 times a year on average.

Won’t power plants create a great deal of additional pollution powering PHEVs?
Pollution is easier to manage at a central point such as the stacks of power plants rather than from millions of vehicle tail pipes. Many power plants today are being modified to lower emissions, and a number of older plants are being retired. Wind-generated power, solar and other forms of renewable energy are pollution free and are becoming more available. The overnight charging of PHEVs matches well with wind-generated electricity (the most abundant green power), the majority of which is produced overnight due to wind patterns.

What about performance? Will PHEVs be slow?
No. A Toyota Prius, modified with a larger plug-in battery, will have essentially the same accelerating power and speed capability of a hybrid.

How much more will a PHEV cost versus a comparably sized conventional vehicle?
It is projected that in mass production, a PHEV could be produced at a cost very close to that of a comparably sized and outfitted conventional vehicle. EPRI studies project that after considering the lower costs of fuel and maintenance, a mass-produced PHEV should provide better overall economics than either a HEV or a conventional vehicle.

Contact Information:
www.pluginaustin.org
Plug-In Hybrid Electric Cars

Enjoy Broad Support

“If by 2025, all cars on the road are hybrids and half are plug-in hybrids, U.S. oil imports would drop by 8 million barrels per day (mbd). Today, the United States imports 10 mbd and is projected to import almost 20 mbd by 2025.”
— Set America Free initiative, a coalition of prominent individuals and non-profit organizations concerned about the security and economic implications of America’s growing dependence on foreign oil

“Plug-in Hybrid Vehicles allow us to use made-in-the-USA energy for most of our driving, breaking the yoke of our dependence on oil.”
— Institute for Analysis of Global Security

“In fact, thanks to the existing grid’s excess capacity at night, it should be possible to support up to 30 percent of the nation’s vehicles equipped with plug-in batteries of 20-mile range and not have to expand electricity generation.”
— Frank Gaffney, President, Center for National Security Policy

“We think the transportation fuel sector should be diversified by utilizing more electricity as a fuel (for) plug-in hybrids that can get 100 miles per gallon and allow you to run on electricity alone for 20 to 30 miles, then shift to the combustion engine.”
— Gal Luft, Director of the Institute for the Analysis of Global Security, an energy-security think tank in Washington

“We believe that the 50 largest cities in this country, united in purpose, can build a groundswell of demand sufficient to entice carmakers to mass produce what is the logical near term response towards the critical goal of energy independence. We intend to set the example in Austin, Texas.”
— Will Wynn, Mayor of Austin, Texas

Plug-In Partners is a national grass-roots campaign to demonstrate that a market exists right now for flexible-fuel Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles (PHEVs).

Key components of the campaign include rebates and incentives, “soft” fleet orders, petitions and endorsements. Partners in this campaign are local and state governments, utilities, and environmental, consumer and business organizations.

“Plug-In Austin” kicked off August 22, 2005. Cities and organizations across America are invited to use this identifying logo, and launch a Plug-In (name of City) campaign for their locale.

Rebates and Incentives

Rebates and incentives could be provided through various sources, including electric utilities — a logical source, since the industry stands to receive additional revenues if PHEVs achieve significant market penetration.

Austin Energy has set aside $1 million for rebates for PHEVs and will support up to 30 percent of the nation’s vehicles equipped with plug-in. Rebates or incentives could also be provided by businesses or organizations to their employees, perhaps as a match to a utility rebate or tax incentive.

Fleet Orders

Advanced commitments for PHEVs for future fleet needs are an important component of the campaign. These “soft” fleet commitments will demonstrate to automakers that governments and business fleet buyers are seriously interested in purchasing PHEVs. Committing to the future purchase of a fixed number of PHEV vehicles, when they become available, would be ideal.

Petitions

The collection of signatures will allow a large number of Americans to speak directly to automakers. The petition being utilized in Austin simply states that the signer understands what plug-ins are, and that they will seriously consider buying such a vehicle if it is manufactured.

Endorsements

Endorsements demonstrate organizational support for plug-ins in the form of a City Council or County Court resolution, a legislative resolution, or a statement of support from a local or national environmental, consumer or civic group or other organization. When an organization endorses the Plug-In Partners campaign, it is voicing its support for the mass production of PHEVs and will promote plug-ins to its membership.

National Database

The City of Austin will maintain a national database at www.pluginaustin.org to which rebate and incentive offers, soft fleet orders, the number of signatures collected by each locale and endorsements can be reported. Summary data will be maintained, and a summary report will be issued to all campaigns, the media and automakers on a quarterly basis.

Available Tools

To assist in the development of Plug-In (name of City) campaigns, the following can be downloaded at www.pluginaustin.org:

● Sample City Council and County Court resolutions
● Sample “soft” fleet order form
● Petition for the collection of signatures
● Letters of invitation seeking participation by environmental and business groups
● Plug-In logo and this Plug-In Austin brochure
● Links to a variety of resources.
Gasoline is expensive and dirty.

Electricity is cleaner and cheaper.

Electricity is made in America.

We need choices.
Demand Plug-in Hybrids and Electric Cars.

Plug In America advocates the use of plug-in cars, trucks and SUVs powered by cleaner, cheaper, domestic electricity to reduce our nation’s dependence on petroleum and improve the global environment.

www.PlugInAmerica.org
info@pluginamerica.org
Now you can plug it in.
Over 100 miles per gallon for the Toyota Prius®.

With an EDrive™ upgrade installed in your 2004 or later year Toyota Prius, you won’t miss those trips to the gas station as your daily commute driving range expands to well over 1000 miles per fill-up. EDrive allows a substantial amount of gasoline to be displaced by electricity when you charge nightly and drive locally. Using any 110V wall socket, your EDrive Prius can be plugged in overnight to recharge an expanded lithium-ion battery system. With a full charge, expect to see over 100 miles per gallon for the first 50 miles of your daily drive under average conditions. On the open road past 50 miles, or if you forget to plug it in, your EDrive Prius will behave like a normal Prius gasoline-electric hybrid.

EDrive can be installed in the rear of a Prius in less than a day without touching the motors, engine or hybrid control system up front. The lithium-ion battery system fits entirely under the rear cargo carpet.

The EDrive system for 2004 and later year Toyota Prius will be available in Southern California in early 2006 for an expected retail price (installed) of $10,000-$12,000. EDrive certified installers will open in other locations nationwide by late 2006. EDrive will soon announce systems for other hybrid vehicles including the Ford Escape® hybrid.

For more information about plug-in hybrid technology, please visit our web site at www.edrivesystems.com.
100+MPG plug-in hybrids:  
all-electric daily driving; 
gas for unlimited range  

Gasoline:  
$2-?/gallon, imported,  
higher emissions  
(replaceable with biofuels)  

Electricity:  
under $1/gallon equiv,  
domestic, cleaner, lower CO2  
(increasingly renewable)  

One way or another, you're plugging it in.  

The California Cars Initiative www.calcars.org